

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/812,235	03/19/2001	William S. Hurst	CRTS-5681 (1417A P 9437 574)/1		
75	90 07/14/2003				
Joseph B. Barr	•	EXAMINER			
Corporate Resea	LTHCARE CORPOR  arch & Technical Serv	CHAN, KO HUNG			
One Baxter Park Deerfield, IL 6		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3632		
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Λ		
		Application No		Applicant(s)	<b>a</b>		
		09/812,235		HURST ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	111		
		Korie H. Chan		3632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cove	rsh et with the	correspond nce addi	ess -		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ind patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory m will apply and will expire o, cause the application	rever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com () (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 x	<u> April 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-f	înal.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims				merits is		
· _	Claim(s) <u>12 and 18-48</u> is/are pending in the a	polication					
•		•	ration				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) 12 and 18-48 is/are rejected.						
·	7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		ted to by the Exa	miner.			
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to th		•				
11) 🗆 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			•			
12) 🔲 🗀	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			, , , , ,			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rec	eived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•		nnlication\		
a	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional applicat	ion has been rec	eived.	ppiicauon).		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under :	55 U.S.C. §§ 120	ang/or 121.			
Attachment  1) Notice	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summer	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	5)	Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-			
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 16			

Application/Control Number: 09/812,235

Art Unit: 3632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show how or by what means the pulley 180 is mounted to the cross rail 178 or how and by what means the pulley 182 is mounted to the box as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 12 and 18-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the



Application/Control Number: 09/812,235

Art Unit: 3632

invention. It is not clear how or by what means the pulley 180 is mounted to the cross rail 178 or how and by what means the pulley 182 is mounted to the box.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 12, 22, 37, 46, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Becker (US Pat. No. 4,381,039). Becker discloses hanger system comprising a box (10, fig. 1), flexible bag (12), hanger (48, fig. 2) with connection member (46, fig. 2) connect to flexible bag and counterweight (30).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker (US Pat. No. 4,381,039) in view of Moliterni (US Pat. No. 4,235,196).

Becker discloses all the claimed features of applicant's invention except for that there are a plural connect locations on the bag to connect to hanger and the hanger is of elastic member. Becker shows the hanger is a chain. To suspend articles via chains or elastic members which is better known as cables is old and well-known in the art.

Moliterni teaches in a hanger system of using a chain or cable (equivalent elastic member, col. 2, line 19). To modify Becker's chain with an elastic member such as a cable as taught by Moliterni would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Such modification would have involved a mere substitution of one well-known hanger for another which is well within the ambit of one of ordinary skill in the art. Further, Moliterni also teaches providing plural connect locations on top of a container (where 49 connects to container 86, fig. 2) for connection to the hanger (49). Although, Moliterni

Application/Control Number: 09/812,235

Art Unit: 3632

does not disclose specifically the advantages of having such hanger system, such hanger radial arm and connect location arrangement is known to provide stability in suspsending the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the hanger of Becker such that there are plural connect locations on the top the container for receiving the hangers as taught by Moliterni as stability.

Claims 18, 19, 24-26, 28-30, 34, 35, 41, 42, 44, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al (US Pat. No. 5,788,121) in view of Dow (US Pat. No. 4,338,979). Sasaki discloses a flexible container (102, fig. 4) having holes (14) to accept a hanger (or machine hand, col. 16, lines 16-20) at a location away from the upper corners (R), wherein the flexible container is disposed in a rigid box wherein the box and flexible container are of rectangular or square crosssection. However, Sasaki does not disclose that the flexible container has a greater volume or greater horizontal perimeter than the box. Dow teaches placing a flexible bag (12) of greater volume and size than the outer rigid box (16) within the box. Even though Dow does not disclose the specific reasons for having a larger bag, it is known that having a smaller flexible bag within the rigid box would stress the flexible bag as articles are placed into the bag within the container. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place Sasaki's flexible bag within a box of smaller volume or size as taught by Dow to reduce stress to the bag. Further, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the flexible container volume of at least 200 liters or have the perimeter of the flexible container to be 2%-10% larger than the perimeter of the box.

Claims 27, 32, 33, 39, 40, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al (US Pat. No. 5,788,121) in view of Dow (US Pat. No. 4,338,979) as applied to claims 18 and 29 above, and further in view of European patent publication (0461874A2) to Farrell et al. Sasaki and Dow combined disclosed all the claimed features of applicant's invention except for providing counterweight and supporting the flexible container at the corners of the box. Farrell teaches using a cable 22 at the corners of a box (10) to support corners of a flexible bag (6) supported within the box and having a counter weight (12) to provide a variable upward force. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the bag in the box structure of Sasaki and Dow combined by providing counterweight and cable hangers as demonstrated by Farrell to suspend Sasaki' flexible container within the box of Sasaki and Dow combined.

The prior art of record does not show the subject matter of claims 21, 31, 36, and 38 having particularly a flexible container having a diagonal seam connect to the hanger or means of upwardly biasing at 35% or 65% of the length of the seam from the corner. However, due to the ambiguity of the workings of the hanger system as discussed above, the allowability of claims 21, 32, 36, and 38 is not indicated at this time.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 and 18-48 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Korie H. Chan whose telephone number is 703-305-8079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Korie H. Chan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

khc July 9, 2003